

Gröbner Basis and deducibility

Charlie Jacomme

March 27, 2018

LSV & LORIA^a

^aCNRS, INRIA, ENS Paris-Saclay

Introduction to security

A rising need for security

Confidentiality: {
Banking operations
Smartphones with GPS
...

A rising need for security

Confidentiality: {
Banking operations
Smartphones with GPS
...
Authentication: {
Internet shopping
Private emails
...}

A public encryption scheme:



A public encryption scheme:

- A public key pk
- A secret key sk



A public encryption scheme:

- A public key pk
- A secret key sk
- An encryption function $enc(message, pk)$
- A decryption function $dec(cypher, sk)$




A public encryption scheme:

- A public key pk
- A secret key sk
- An encryption function $enc(message, pk)$
- A decryption function $dec(cypher, sk)$




based on exponentiation in group and hardness of discrete logarithm

Protocols




Protocols



Is secret secret ?

Protocols



No !

Proofs of security are

- difficult
- error prone

Proofs of security are

- difficult
- error prone

↪ We want automation

Deducibility

Deducibility

Given a set of messages, can an attacker deduce a secret ?

Deducibility

Given a set of messages, can an attacker deduce a secret ?

Messages are

- x, y, z, \dots random variables over \mathbb{K}
- g^f with $f \in \mathbb{K}[X]$

Deducibility

Given a set of messages, can an attacker deduce a secret ?

Messages are

- x, y, z, \dots random variables over \mathbb{K}
- g^f with $f \in \mathbb{K}[X]$

Example

$$x, g^{x \times y}, g^{y^2} \vdash g^{y^2 + y}$$

Deducibility

Given a set of messages, can an attacker deduce a secret ?

Messages are

- x, y, z, \dots random variables over \mathbb{K}
- g^f with $f \in \mathbb{K}[X]$

Example

$$x, g^{x \times y}, g^{y^2} \vdash g^{y^2+y}$$

$$g^{y^2+y} = (g^{x \times y})^{x^{-1}} \times g^{y^2}$$

Our generalized problem

$$\Gamma \models X, g^{f_1}, \dots, g^{f_k} \vdash g^h$$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \Gamma \text{ axioms} \\ X \text{ public variables} \\ Y \text{ secret variables} \\ g \text{ group} \\ f_i, h \text{ polynomials over } \mathbb{K}[X, Y] \end{array} \right.$$

$$\Gamma \models X, g^{f_1}, \dots, g^{f_k} \vdash g^h$$

With Gröbner Basis

The algorithm

$$\Gamma \models X, g^{f_1}, \dots, g^{f_k} \vdash g^h$$

With Gröbner Basis

1. Characterize the attacker knowledge:

$$M = \left\{ \sum_i e_i \times f_i \mid e_i \in \mathbb{K}[X] \right\}$$

$$\Gamma \models X, g^{f_1}, \dots, g^{f_k} \vdash g^h$$

With Gröbner Basis

1. Characterize the attacker knowledge:

$$M = \left\{ \sum_i e_i \times f_i \mid e_i \in \mathbb{K}[X] \right\}$$

2. Saturate using the axioms, if $\Gamma = \{p_k \neq 0\}$:

$$M :_{\mathbb{K}[X, Y]} (p_1 \dots p_n)^\infty = \{f \in \mathbb{K}[X, Y] \mid \exists n \in \mathbb{N}, f \times (p_1 \dots p_n)^n \in M\}$$

$$\Gamma \models X, g^{f_1}, \dots, g^{f_k} \vdash g^h$$

With Gröbner Basis

1. Characterize the attacker knowledge:

$$M = \left\{ \sum_i e_i \times f_i \mid e_i \in \mathbb{K}[X] \right\}$$

2. Saturate using the axioms, if $\Gamma = \{p_k \neq 0\}$:

$$M :_{\mathbb{K}[X, Y]} (p_1 \dots p_n)^\infty = \{f \in \mathbb{K}[X, Y] \mid \exists n \in \mathbb{N}, f \times (p_1 \dots p_n)^n \in M\}$$

3. Test the membership.

Gröbner Basis

(in) Formal definition

For f, g_i in $\mathbb{K}[X, Y]$, we have:

- an ordering on monomials
- if $lm(f) = qlm(g_1)$ then $red_1^X(f, g_1) = f - qg$
- $red^X(f, g_i)$ is the iteration of red_1 for all g_i

(in)Formal definition

For f, g_i in $\mathbb{K}[X, Y]$, we have:

- an ordering on monomials
- if $lm(f) = qlm(g_1)$ then $red_1^X(f, g_1) = f - qg$
- $red^X(f, g_i)$ is the iteration of red_1 for all g_i

Gröbner Basis

$G = \{g_i\}$ is a GB iff $\forall h \in \langle g_i \rangle_{\mathbb{K}[X]}, red(h, G) = 0$

Buchberger's algorithm

For f, g_i in $\mathbb{K}[X, Y]$, we have:

$$S(f, g_1) = \frac{lppcm(f, g_1)}{lm(g)} f - \frac{lppcm(f, g_1)}{lm(f)} g$$

Buchberger's algorithm

For f, g_i in $\mathbb{K}[X, Y]$, we have:

$$S(f, g_1) = \frac{lppcm(f, g_1)}{lm(g)}f - \frac{lppcm(f, g_1)}{lm(f)}g$$

Given $G = \{g_i\}$:

- compute a $S(g_i, g_j)$
- reduce it w.r.t to G
- if its remainder is non zero, add it to G

Buchberger's algorithm

For f, g_i in $\mathbb{K}[X, Y]$, we have:

$$S(f, g_1) = \frac{lppcm(f, g_1)}{lm(g)}f - \frac{lppcm(f, g_1)}{lm(f)}g$$

Given $G = \{g_i\}$:

- compute a $S(g_i, g_j)$
- reduce it w.r.t to G
- if its remainder is non zero, add it to G

Goal

If $M = \langle G \rangle$, compute the GB of

$$M :_{\mathbb{K}[X, Y]} (p)^\infty = \{f \in \mathbb{K}[X, Y] \mid \exists n \in \mathbb{N}, f \times (p)^n \in M\}$$

Goal

If $M = \langle G \rangle$, compute the GB of

$$M :_{\mathbb{K}[X, Y]} (p)^\infty = \{f \in \mathbb{K}[X, Y] \mid \exists n \in \mathbb{N}, f \times (p)^n \in M\}$$

Magic trick

- compute the GB of $G \cup (1 - tp)$, with t a fresh variable
- keep only the base element not containing t .

$$\Gamma \models X, g^{f_1}, \dots, g^{f_k} \vdash g^h$$

With Gröbner Basis

1. Characterize the attacker knowledge:

$$M = \left\{ \sum_i e_i \times f_i \mid e_i \in \mathbb{K}[X] \right\}$$

2. Saturate using the axioms, if $\Gamma = \{p_k \neq 0\}$:

$$M :_{\mathbb{K}[X, Y]} (p_1 \dots p_n)^\infty = \{f \in \mathbb{K}[X, Y] \mid \exists n \in \mathbb{N}, f \times (p_1 \dots p_n)^n \in M\}$$

3. Test the membership.

Conclusion

It is a good idea to to have general knowledge in math when doing computer science !